TEXAS PUBLIC FINANCE AUTHORITY

REPORT ON CUSTOMER SERVICE

JUNE 1, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
Introduction
Inventory of External Customers by Strategy
Information Gathering Methodology4
Response Rate
Survey Results
Analysis of Findings
Customer Service Contact Information
Customer Service Performance Measures Definitions and FY 2022 Results10
Exhibit I – Survey
Exhibit II – Survey Response Data

REPORT ON CUSTOMER SERVICE

INTRODUCTION

The Texas Public Finance Authority ("TPFA" or "Authority") developed customer service standards, adopted its Compact with Texans, and conducted its first customer satisfaction survey as part of the statewide strategic planning process in 2002. The Authority has continued to survey its customers during each subsequent biennium in order to evaluate the services provided by the Authority and to identify opportunities for areas of improvement, as a cornerstone of its strategic planning process.

The Authority endeavors to provide the highest quality of service to its customers and is pleased to present its fiscal year 2022 customer service report.

INVENTORY OF EXTERNAL CUSTOMERS BY STRATEGY

While most state agencies directly serve the general public, the Authority's customers consist of other state agencies, universities and other legislatively designated entities on whose behalf the Authority issues debt. These customers are referred to collectively as "client agencies." The Authority's key service functions provided to its client agencies are: project financing (consisting of legislatively authorized programs, construction, renovation and repairs of facilities, real property acquisition and facilities acquisition); equipment financing through the Master Lease Purchase Program ("MLPP"); debt administration; financial reporting; legislative assistance; agency operations; and other services. The specific customer service elements are based on the Authority's strategies in the 2022-2023 General Appropriations Act as outlined below.

A. Goal: FINANCE CAPITAL PROJECTS

A.1.1. Strategy: ANALYZE FINANCINGS AND ISSUE DEBT

A.2.1. Strategy: MANAGE BOND PROCEEDS

A.2.2. Strategy: BOND DEBT SERVICE PAYMENTS

Authority staff identified contacts within the various client agencies performing functions that inter-relate with the Authority's mission. Executive staff screened the list to determine those individuals or organizations that constitute "customers" from which meaningful data could be collected cost effectively. The list of contacts consists of 118 individuals at 29 client and oversight agencies, which represent the following groups:

- Individuals involved in requesting project financing;
- Individuals involved in MLPP equipment financing;
- Individuals involved in debt administration;
- Individuals involved in financial reporting;
- Individuals working with Legislative and oversight agencies; and,
- Individuals involved with agency operations, including Budget, Payroll, Accounting and fixed assets.

Although the Authority has completely overhauled its survey instrument over time, the basis of the survey remains the same in 2022. The Authority's survey measures the following four customer service categories: Financing Services, Other Services, General Information and Educational Training. Financing Services is a measurement of

how the Authority meets its mission to provide the most cost-effective financing available to fund capital projects, equipment acquisitions, and other programs as authorized by the Texas Legislature. Other Services measures the quality of customer service provided to individuals in the area of debt administration, financial reporting, legislative assistance, agency operations, and other specifically identified services. General Information is a measurement of other customer service quality elements identified in the Authority's Compact with Texans, and the final area of the Authority's survey is designed to measure the quality and effectiveness of Authority-sponsored Educational Training, which has been delayed due to the coronavirus pandemic ("COVID-19").

The 2022 survey had no material changes from the 2020 survey instrument, which was previously modified to capture responses from customers receiving a specific type of financing mechanism, i.e., bonds, commercial paper, and MLPP to obtain feedback from customers receiving a broader type of service, project financing and MLPP equipment financing.

Throughout this report, a few comparisons to prior year surveys are made; however, due to significant changes in the Authority's survey over time, overall survey comparisons are not included.

INFORMATION GATHERING METHODOLOGY

On Tuesday, April 5, 2022, the Authority distributed notification of its web-based customer satisfaction survey by electronic mail. Survey responses were due Monday, April 25, 2022. As in previous years, customers were provided options to submit their survey anonymously on-line, by regular mail, electronic mail, or facsimile. Six surveys were received by the due date. Responsive data from all six surveys received is included in this report. All six survey responses were received through the web-based system. The agency's web server captured response data in a web form, the data were copied to an internal file server and finally imported to Excel where additional survey data was hand-keyed, and the data were grouped and sorted. A copy of the Authority's Customer Satisfaction Survey is attached as Exhibit I.

Authority staff developed survey questions to evaluate Financing Services, Other Services, Educational Training, and specific statutorily required customer satisfaction elements (websites, complaint-handling processes, service timeliness, and printed information), which were captured under General Information. Financing Services and Other Services were also evaluated for customer service deliverables. Evaluation criteria for each survey question were based on a standard Likert Scale utilizing the following measures: strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, and strongly disagree.


The survey instrument included a Comments Section under three service evaluation areas for respondents to provide quantifiable details for ratings of "strongly agree" or "strongly disagree". Also, customers were asked to indicate in a separate comment section any specific TPFA sponsored future training needs.

RESPONSE RATE

Over the years, the Authority has attempted to increase its survey response rate by expanding its customer list. In 2006, legislative offices and oversight agencies were added to the Authority's customer list and in 2010, the Authority marketed its survey by appending a survey response request to all outgoing emails sent to customers during the survey period. In 2022, the Authority's list of customers surveyed as compared to those in prior years remains significantly lower as a result of a pare-down of customers surveyed due to consolidation of some client agencies, turnover at others, and due to COVID-19. The coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19) has ushered in a new era for state agencies. The working environment standards for all state agencies is evolving through technology and the attrition of some staff. Maintaining accurate points of contacts has been challenging, which may have affected the number of responses received. Additionally, client agencies and others are not as responsive to

providing feedback by completing surveys as they have in earlier years when customer service surveys were initially implemented. The Authority's response rate remains below 15% over the last six biennia and significantly decreased from 13.75% in 2020 to 5.41% in 2022.

Below is a chart depicting the response rate history for the Authority's Customer Satisfaction Survey for 2022, with comparative totals over the last five biennia.




Survey Response Data for Fiscal Year 2022 is attached as Exhibit II.

SURVEY RESULTS

Overall Results

Though the Authority only received six responses, the survey responses continue to yield high satisfaction ratings for services provided to client agencies with a combined 100% of respondents strongly agreeing (50%) or agreeing (50%) as being satisfied with their overall experience with the Authority.


In 2022, all customer comments and satisfaction ratings reflect overwhelmingly positive remarks in each of the four service categories and are discussed in greater detail in the next section. These high satisfaction ratings coincide with the positive written feedback from the agency's customers as reflected in this year's survey results as demonstrated in the comments in the various service categories, including one customer commenting "staff manage the state's debt effectively and efficiently" and "they are always monitoring the market and take advantage of changes in interest rates to reduce debt servicing costs for the state". Below is a table expressing overall customer satisfaction results.



Financing Services

In 2022, the Authority's survey captures data from customers receiving project financing or MLPP equipment financing. This service element is directly linked to the agency's mission to issue debt in the most cost effective and efficient manner and to provide funds to client agencies in a timely manner. As previously noted, this portion of the 2016 customer service instrument was modified to include these two broader survey elements under the Financing Services category and this survey model has been repeated since. The results of the 2022 survey reflect high customer satisfaction in the Authority's financing services provided to its client agencies, with a combined 75% of respondents: 1) strongly agree (50%) or agree (25%) that the Authority's financings are both cost effective and efficient; and 2) strongly agree (50%) or agree (25%) that the Authority's financing is provided in a timely manner.

Below are the results indicating client agencies' perceptions of the Authority's Financing Services.



TPFA FINANCING SERVICES RESULTS

The Authority attributes these results to the tenure and expertise of its staff combined with previous client agency orientation training sessions, most recently on a one-on-one agency-by-agency as needed basis, and other customer driven services the Authority's staff provides.

One hundred percent of customers agree that Authority staff respond satisfactorily to questions or requests for information and provide accurate and complete information. Also, a combined 100% of respondents agree that

Authority staff are knowledgeable, courteous and professional, and provided information in a timely manner. Additionally, four of the six respondents submitted comments relative to Financing Services and all comments received were of a positive nature. Examples of such comments include, "While it takes time to initiate the process and there is no simple guide to outline the process, the staff make up for it by being extremely knowledgeable, polite, and willing to take time to explain everything" and, "staff always act professionally and are extremely knowledgeable about project financing. They provide advice and other assistance as needed and warranted."

Other Services

Other Services captures data from customers receiving services related to debt administration, annual financial reporting, legislative assistance/response, agency operations (ABEST, USAS, Budget, Payroll, Accounting, SPA) and other specific customer service areas identified by individual survey respondents. Results for Other Services show a combined 100% of customers agree that Authority staff: are knowledgeable; courteous and professional; demonstrate a willingness to assist; respond to requests for information satisfactorily; provide accurate and complete information; provide information in a timely manner; and communicated effectively. Of the six respondents providing written feedback in 2022 all comments are complimentary of Authority staff in the Other Services area, including one response that "staff have always been extremely helpful and a pleasure to work with in all our collaborations" while another response indicates that "TPFA provides very much needed guidance".

General Information

This section reflects specific customer satisfaction elements addressed in TX Gov't Code, chapter 2114 that are not captured elsewhere in this report. Such elements include customer experience with the Authority's website, complaint-handling process, and responsiveness to general inquires of Authority personnel. Customer service results for general information inquiries involving telephone calls, e-mails or letters reflect customer satisfaction is a combined 100%. One customer response indicates that "TFPA staff always respond to questions and inquiries when I call or send email".


Below is a table of customer service results as it relates to the Authority's website over the last five biennia. The overall average agreement expressed in this table is computed by combining the categories of strongly agree and agree. Based on the 2022 survey responses, 50% of customers agree that the Authority's website is current and 50% agree that it is easy to use and well organized.

RESULTS REGARDING TPFA's WEBSITE

	2022	2020	2018	<u>2016</u>	2014
Information is current and up-to-date					
Strongly Agree	0.00%	50.00%	62.50%	20.00%	12.50%
Agree	50.00%	50.00%	12.50%	66.67%	68.75%
Neutral	50.00%	0.00%	25.00%	6.67%	12.50%
Disagree	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	6.67%	6.25%
Strongly Disagree	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Easy to use and well organized					
Strongly Agree	0.00%	57.14%	37.50%	21.43%	12.50%
Agree	50.00%	28.57%	37.50%	64.29%	62.50%
Neutral	50.00%	14.29%	25.00%	7.14%	18.75%
Disagree	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	7.14%	6.25%
Strongly Disagree	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
Average, Overall Agreement	90.63%	92.86%	75.00%	86.19%	78.13%

As an issuer of municipal debt, the Authority uses its website to communicate to the bond market, rating agencies, and other stakeholders while also providing sufficient resources for client agencies and legislative offices. Like most state agencies, the Authority is challenged with organizing vast amounts of resources on its website related to its financing programs, processes, outstanding debt, and a multitude of statutorily required reports and links. The Authority will continue to seek additional ways to enhance the Authority's customers experience when visiting its website.

In 2022, a combined 75% of customer responses reflect familiarity with the Authority's complaint handling process, a dip from 87.50% reported in 2020. Below is a chart reflecting five biennia of historical responses from customers on complaint handling awareness.



The above results indicate that the percentage of customers familiar with the complaint handling process remains relatively flat the last two biennia from previous years; however, it should be noted that the Authority has not received any formal complaints since the implementation of its complaint handling process in 2000. In an effort to increase familiarity with this portion of the agency's business practice, the Authority's Compact with Texans continues to be included in the distribution of its Customer Satisfaction Survey, including the 2022 survey distribution. Following the adoption of its Compact with Texans, a complaint has not been filed with the Authority.

Educational Training

Training sessions are generally held for legislative and oversight agencies as well as the Authority's client agencies. Other more specialized training sessions are conducted as requested or as needed. Client agency training is designed to familiarize agencies with the bond issuance process, including the timeline needed to structure financings, and the documents that must accompany a financing request. Other specialized training regarding the bond issuance process and others are conducted between legislative sessions with legislative staff and staff from oversight agencies. Results from the 2022 survey collected a single response from one customer indicating a neutral response that training was useful. In 2020, the Authority released a YouTube training video containing a case study of a previous TPFA project financing. A link to the training video is on the Authority's website for legislative staff, oversight agencies and TPFA client agencies to access at their convenience. Following the return to a more in-office work environment in FY 2022, TPFA is anticipating completion of an online version of its client agency training to have available for individuals requiring training in preparation of the 88th Legislative Session.

ANALYSIS OF FINDINGS

The survey results indicate that, overall, Authority customers are satisfied with the services that the agency provides. The Authority continues to receive exemplary ratings in carrying out its mission to provide its customers with the most cost effective, efficient and timely financings. Staff responsiveness, knowledge, courtesy and professionalism, precision, and timeliness reflect similar results. The agency attributes these results to the tenure and expertise of Authority's staff and previously customer training sessions frequented by its client agencies.

The Authority will continue to seek ways to further improve the overall customer service experience of individuals contacting the agency by phone and those visiting the agency's website and will conduct more specialized training sessions to further increase client agencies' knowledge of the financing process and post issuance compliance requirements.

The Authority is extremely pleased with the results of the survey and will strive to maintain the high level of service its customers have come to expect in carrying out the agency's mission.

CUSTOMER SERVICE CONTACT INFORMATION

Customer Service Representative: Lee Deviney, Executive Director

Agency: Texas Public Finance Authority

Physical Address: 300 West 15th Street, Suite 411, Austin, TX 78701

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 12906, Austin, TX 78711-2906

Phone Number: 512.463.5544
Fax Number: 512.463.5501

Email Address: lee.deviney@tpfa.texas.gov

Hours of Operation: Monday – Friday 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

CUSTOMER SERVICE PERFORMANCE MEASURES DEFINITIONS AND FISCAL YEAR 2022 RESULTS

Outcome Measure	Percentage of Surveyed Customer Respondents (Client Agencies) Expressing Overall Satisfaction with Financing Services Received	90.10%
Short Definition	The percent of state agencies and institutions (staff involved in requesting financing, budgeting, accounting, and legislative offices) who report overall satisfaction with services on surveys conducted by the Texas Public Finance Authority (TPFA). TPFA services focus on cost-effective capital financing for capital projects and equipment acquisitions.	
Purpose/Importance	This measure provides valuable information to agency management on the level and quality of services provided to client agencies and cost to Texas taxpayers.	
Source/Collection of Data	Surveys conducted by the TPFA.	
Method of Calculation	The calculation for this measure is the total number of clients who respond that they are satisfied with TPFA services by answering "Strongly Agree" or "Agree," divided by the total number of clients who respond to the survey.	
Data Limitations	None	
Calculation Type	Non-cumulative	
New Measure	No	
Desired Performance	Higher than target.	
Outcome Measure	Percentage of Surveyed Customer Respondents Identifying Ways to Improve Service Delivery	0%
Short Definition	The percent of state agencies and institutions (staff involved in requesting financing, budgeting, accounting, and legislative offices) that identify ways to improve service delivery in the survey.	
Purpose/Importance	This measure provides valuable information to agency management on the level and quality of services provided to client agencies and cost to Texas taxpayers.	
Source/Collection of Data	Surveys conducted by the TPFA.	
Method of Calculation	The calculation for this measure is the total number of clients who included comments for improving service delivery.	
Data Limitations	None	

Calculation Type	Non-cumulative	
New Measure	No	
Desired Performance	Target	
O 42 4 Mars 22	N. m.l. and C. atamana (Climat Annual and Communication)	
Output Measure	Number of Customers (Client Agencies) Surveyed	6
Short Definition	The number of state agencies, institutions and other state entities (staff involved in requesting financing, budgeting, accounting, and legislative offices) who are surveyed by TPFA.	
Purpose/Importance	This measure reflects the accuracy of the survey.	
Source/Collection of Data	Surveys conducted by TPFA.	
Method of Calculation	Number of agencies surveyed	
Data Limitations	None	
Calculation Type	Non-Cumulative	
New Measure	No	
Desired Performance	Higher than target.	
T100 1 1/		# 0.00
Efficiency Measure	Cost Per Customer Surveyed	\$0.00
Short Definition	The average cost per survey (one survey sent to each staff person involved in requesting financing, budgeting, accounting, and legislative offices). Costs include, but are not limited to, postage, materials and staff time.	
Purpose/Importance	This measure reflects the cost efficiency of the survey and weighs the cost of surveying a customer group to the potential benefits of the information.	
Source/Collection of Data	Surveys conducted by the TPFA and compiled cost reports.	
Method of calculation	The total cost (as defined in the short definition) to administer the survey divided by the total number of surveys mailed.	
Data Limitation	No direct costs. Allocation of indirect costs (staff time, overhead) not available.	
Calculation Type	Non-cumulative	
New Measure	No	

Lower than target.

Desired Performance

Texas Public Finance Authority (TPFA) Customer Service Survey 2022

TPFA's mission is to meet our client agencies' financing needs in the most cost-effective and efficient manner possible. In an effort to determine how we may better serve you, we appreciate your feedback and request that you complete this survey on or before **Monday, April 25, 2022**. Please feel free to forward this survey to other staff in your agency, as appropriate.

You may submit a hard copy to TPFA by Interagency Mail (TPFA, Clements BLDG, Suite 411), or as an email attachment (<u>survey@tpfa.texas.gov</u>).

Please indicate the type of service or financing received from TPFA (check all that apply).

Financing Project Financing Master Lease Purchase Program (Equipment Financing)	
Other Services	
□ Debt Administration (funding draws, debt service payment, etc.)	
☐ Financial Reporting (AFR)	
☐ Legislative Assistance/Response	
☐ Agency Operations (ABEST, USAS, Budget, Payroll, Accounting, SPA)	
☐ Other Service (please specify)	

Financing Services (Includes Project and Equipment Financing)

Financing was cost-effective. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral	□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Financing process was efficient. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral	□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Financing was provided in a timely man ☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Neutral		☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff were knowledgeable. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral	□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff were courteous and professional. ☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Neutral	□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff satisfactorily responded to question ☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Neutral			□ N/A
Staff provided accurate, complete inform ☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Neutral		☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff communicated effectively. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral	□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff provided information timely. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral	□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Financing – For ratings of "Strongly Ag comments.	ree" or "Stro	ongly Disagree," pleas	e provide

Other Services (Includes Debt Administration, Financial Reporting, Legislative Assistance, Agency Operations)

Staff demonstrat ☐ Strongly Agree	,			☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff were courte ☐ Strongly Agree	_		□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff were knowl ☐ Strongly Agree		□ Neutral	□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff satisfactoril ☐ Strongly Agree				for information. ☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff provided ac ☐ Strongly Agree				☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff communica ☐ Strongly Agree		·	□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Staff provided in ☐ Strongly Agree			□ Disagree	☐ Strongly Disagree	□ N/A
Other Services – provide comment	_	s of "Strongl	y Agree" or "	'Strongly Disagree," p	lease
	_	s of "Strongl	y Agree" or "	'Strongly Disagree," p	lease
	_	s of "Strongl	y Agree" or "	'Strongly Disagree," p	lease
	_	s of "Strongl	y Agree" or "	'Strongly Disagree," p	lease
	_	s of "Strongl	y Agree" or "	'Strongly Disagree," p	lease
	_	s of "Strongl	y Agree" or "	Strongly Disagree," p	lease
	_	s of "Strongl	y Agree" or "	Strongly Disagree," p	lease

General Information

Information on TPFA's website is current and up-to-date. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral □ Disagree □ Strongly Disagree □ N/A
The TPFA website is easy to use and well organized. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral □ Disagree □ Strongly Disagree □ N/A
I am aware that TPFA has a complaint-handling process. ☐ Strongly Agree ☐ Agree ☐ Neutral ☐ Disagree ☐ Strongly Disagree ☐ N/A
My telephone call, email, or letter was responded to in a reasonable amount of time. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral □ Disagree □ Strongly Disagree □ N/A
Overall, I am satisfied with my experience with TPFA. □ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral □ Disagree □ Strongly Disagree □ N/A
General Information – For ratings of "Strongly Agree" or "Strongly Disagree," please provide comments.
Educational Training
I found TPFA training sessions useful. (Answer only if you have attended TPFA sponsored training sessions.)
□ Strongly Agree □ Agree □ Neutral □ Disagree □ Strongly Disagree □ N/A
In the box below, please specify the type of TPFA training of interest to your agency that you would like to attend in the future.

FINANCING SERVICES

Financing was cost effective.

•			-
Strongly Agree	2	50.00%	75.00%
Agree	1	25.00%	
Neutral	1	25.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

Financing process was efficient.

<u> </u>			-
Strongly Agree	2	50.00%	75.00%
Agree	1	25.00%	
Neutral	1	25.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

Financing was provided in a timely manner.

Strongly Agree	2	50.00%	75.00%
Agree	1	25.00%	
Neutral	1	25.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

FINANCING AND OTHER SERVICES

Staff were knowledgeable.	Financing Services

Strongly Agree	4	100.00%	100.00%
Agree Neutral	0	0.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

Oth	_		
	5	100.00%	100.00%
	0	0.00%	
	0	0.00%	
	0	0.00%	
	0	0.00%	
	5	100.00%	

Staff were courteous and professional.	Financing	Services	_
Strongly Agree	4	100.00%	100.00
Agree	0	0.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

Other Services			
5	100.00%	100.00%	
0	0.00%		
0	0.00%		
0	0.00%		
0	0.00%		
5	100.00%		
		•	

Staff demonstrated a willingness to assist.	Financing	Services	_
Strongly Agree	4	100.00%	100.00%
Agree Neutral	0	0.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

Other Services				
5	100.00%	100.00%		
0	0.00%			
0	0.00%			
0	0.00%			
0	0.00%			
5	100.00%			

Staff satisfactorily responded to questions or requests for

information.	Financing	Services	_
Strongly Agree	4	100.00%	100.00%
Agree	0	0.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

Other Services			
5	100.00%	100.00%	
0	0.00%		
0	0.00%		
0	0.00%		
0	0.00%		
5	100.00%		
1		•	

Staff provided accurate, complete information.	Financing	g Services	-
Strongly Agree	4	100.00%	100.00%
Agree	0	0.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

Other S	ervices	7
5	100.00%	100.00%
0	0.00%	
0	0.00%	
0	0.00%	
0	0.00%	
5	100.00%	

Staff communicated effectively.	Financing	Services	-
Strongly Agree	4	100.00%	100.00%
Agree Neutral	0	0.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	
			•

Other Services				
5	100.00%	100.00%		
0	0.00%			
0	0.00%			
0	0.00%			
0	0.00%			
5	100.00%			

Staff provided information in a timely manner.	timely manner. Financing Services		
Strongly Agree	4	100.00%	100.00%
Agree	0	0.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

Other S	ervices	-
5	100.00%	100.00%
0	0.00%	
0	0.00%	
0	0.00%	
0	0.00%	
5	100.00%	

GENERAL INFORMATION

Information on TPFA's website is current and up to date.

Strongly Agree	0	0.00%	50.00%
Agree Neutral	2	50.00%	
Neutral	2	50.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

The TPFA website is easy to use and well organized.

Strongly Agree	0	0.00% 5	0.00%
Agree	2	50.00%	
Neutral	2	50.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

I am aware that TPFA has a complaint-handling process.

Strongly Agree	0	0.00% 7	75.00%
Agree	3	75.00%	
Neutral	1	25.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	4	100.00%	

My telephone call, email, or letter was responded to in a reasonable amount of time.

Strongly Agree	3	50.00%	100.00%
Agree	3	50.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	6	100.00%	

Overall, I am satisfied with my experience with TPFA.

			•
Strongly Agree	3	50.00%	100.00%
Agree	3	50.00%	
Neutral	0	0.00%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	6	100.00%	

I found TPFA training sessions useful.

Strongly Agree	0	0.00%
Agree	0	0.00%
Neutral	2	100.00%
Disagree	0	0.00%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%
Total	2	100.00%

COMBINED TOTALS BY CATEGORY TYPE

Strongly Agree	75	74.26% 9	90.10%
Agree	16	15.84%	
Neutral	10	9.90%	
Disagree	0	0.00%	
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%	
Total	101	100.00%	

TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONSES RECEIVED

6